

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/100,569	06/19/1998	MICHAEL E BURKE	CASE-2-1-3-2	8701	

07/11/2003

DE LA ROSA & DE LA ROSA LLC 375 UPPER MOUNTAIN AVENUE MONTCLAIR, NJ 07043

EXAMINER	
LIU, SHUWANG	-

ART UNIT 2634

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary		09/100,569	9	BURKE ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Shuwang l	iu	2634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ion.  s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	at, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the total to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>06 May 2003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is r	on-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice closed for the condition of Claims				erits is
·	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation			
4)23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		sideration		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	marawii iloiii con	sideration.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-16</u> is/are rejected.				
	•				
7)∐	Claim(s) <u>6 and 17</u> is/are objected to.	and/ar alastian ra			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	and/or election re	quirement.		
_	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer			
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		bliected to by the Exar	miner	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection		•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	• ,	•	` '	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required			•	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<u> </u>		/ X * / - · X / ·	
ŕ	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been	received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		•	on No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	e priority documer nal Bureau (PCT R	nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stag	e
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do		•		lication).
a	The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	je provisional app	lication has been rec	eived.	<i>Y</i>
Attachmen		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	33 - 12	· <del></del>	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	l8) 5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ice Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 21	_

Art Unit: 2634

#### DETAILED ACTION

## **Continued Prosecution Application**

1. The request filed on May 06, 2003, for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/100,569 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Soliman (US 5,675,581).

As shown in figures 5-7 and 9, Soliman discloses a wireless system, a method of receiving a received signal (by 120) on a received path of a receiver, the method comprising:

(1) regarding claim 1:

injecting a desensitization signal (outputted from 114) into said receive path (120 and 116) to raise the noise level of said receive path relative to the level of said received signal without attenuating the received signal on the receive path so as to desensitize the receiver (column 13, line 52-column 14, line 29); and

Art Unit: 2634

adjusting the power level (controlled by 130) of the desensitization signal based on the operating parameters of the wireless communication system (column 14, lines 14-29).

(2) regarding claim 3:

providing a noise source (126) as said desensitization.

(3) regarding claim 10:

coupling (adder 116) said desensitization signal onto said receiver path.

(4) regarding claim 11:

a desensitization signal source (114) that is capable of producing a desensitization signal (output from 114) on a desensitization signal path;

a coupler (adder 116) connected to said desensitization signal path and said receive path and injects said desensitization signal (output from 114) into said receive path (120 and 116) to raise the noise level of said receive path relative to the level of said received signal without attenuating the received signal on the receive path so as to desensitize the receiver (column 13, line 52-column 14, line 29); and

means (130) for adjusting the power level of the desensitization signal based on the operating parameters of the wireless communication system (column 14, lines 14-29).

(5) regarding claim 12:

said desensitization signal source comprises a noise source (126) as said desensitization.

(6) regarding claims 9 and 15:

Art Unit: 2634

attenuating (130) the desensitization signal prior to the step of injecting (column 14, lines 16-20).

(7) regarding claims 2 and 16:

amplifying (728 in figure 9) said signal on said receive path with an amplifier (RF AMP in 728); and

wherein said step of injecting further includes:

injecting said desensitization signal into said receive path after said amplifier (see figure 9) (column 19, lines 49-60).

(8) regarding claims 5, 7 and 14:

modulating (mixing) (430 in figure 7) a continuous wave signal ( $r_{eq}$ ) using a modulating signal source ( $X_{eq}$ ) to produce a modulated desensitization signal as the desensitization signal.

(9) regarding claim 8:

providing the continuous wave signal ( $r_{eq}$  in figure 7) to the adjustable attenuator (430, 600, 650, 455 and 300 in figure 7);

providing a modulating signal source ( $X_{eq}$ ) to the adjustable attenuator (430, 600, 650, 455 and 300 in figure 7); and

attenuating by the adjustable attenuator (430, 600, 650, 455 and 300 in figure 7 and 280 in figure 6) said continuous wave signal using said modulating signal to produce the modulated desensitization signal (output from 280).

Application/Control Number: 09/100,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soliman (US 5,675,581) in view of Hall et al. (US 5,519,888, in paper #3).

Soliman discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically including a continuous wave signal source producing a continuous wave signal on the desensitization path.

Hall et al. teaches a receiver comprising a continuous wave signal source (16 in figure 4) producing a continuous wave signal on the desensitization path.

One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that to use different noise sources is merely a matter of design choice. For example, it may reduce cost to use a continuous wave signal on the desensitization path. As shown in figure 4, Hall et al. teaches the noise source is a continuous wave signal (16). Hall et al. also teach another embodiment (figure 9) in which the noise source is a pseudo-noise sequence. The limitations in claims do not define a patentably distinct invention over that in the receiver of Jin et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the noise source of Soliman by a continuous

Art Unit: 2634

wave signal on the desensitization path as taught by Hall et al. so as to provide a common noise source and reduce cost in communication system.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2634

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Slavery Li

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2634

July 9, 2003